
PEPFARI TX_ML REQUIREMENTS

MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Date: <mm/dd/yyyy> 10.00am - 11.30am

Meeting Location: <Location>

Draft v1.0

1 ATTENDANCE

Organization	Names
CDC	Maria Rein, Timóteo
USAID	Ferrerira Ferreira
PCO	Charlotte Hill
PARTNERS	FHI-360/CHASS; FGH, CCS, ICAP, Jembi, Jhpiego, Ariel, EGPAF

2 DISCUSSED POINTS

- TX_ML is a Semi anual indicator
- For this indicator as no denominator (N/A)
- LTFU we will consider FALTOSO ou ABANDONO?
- Numerator has 4 disaggregation: (1) Death, (2) Previously undocumented patient transfer, (3) Traced patient (Unable to locate), (4) Did not attempt to trace patient
- Fernanda - regarding TX_CURR the ideal would be having a sum of the current ART patients plus the ones that we lost, i.e, total of TX_CURR + group of patients that we lost. TX_ML should help to understand what is happening to the group that we lost.
- O TX_CURR does not include ABANDONOS
- The definition for TX_ML is not a COHORT, if we consider a time period it will be a COHORT.
 - o We wont to look at CURRENT of the previous period and CURRENT of the current period, and the ones that are missing and that applies to this category, this is a semiannual report what we wont to see is what happened with those who we lost during the past 2 quarters?!
 - o Whatever doesn't count for TX_CURR counts for TX_ML...
 - o The current definition for TX_CURR is 4 weeks for LTFU, if we consider FALTOSO how many days are we considering??
- Question on Community Health Worker - the question for community is tracing, it cannot be on the numerator
 - o The definition of Community health worker does not apply to Mozambique
 - o The question is about the community visit, it refers to GAAC
- We need to look at the last contact that patient did.
 - o Definition of FALTOSO? - when do we declare FALTOSO? If the patient should show up today at the HF, do we consider FALTOSO right the next day? But then there is an action taken, the first step is wait 7 days and then send community workers to home visits/phone calls
- FF - we have 2 scenarios here: one outcome for most recent and other for LTFU
- Interpretation of the differences on TX_CURR, the intention is tracing and the other is looking at TX_NET which is the difference between both periods.
 - o "Faltoso são activos que não vieram"
 - o TX_ML in reality looks at 2 different things, there are 2 processes that needs to be collected on the same indicator.
 - The main reason for this indicator is that there are many LTFU reported, and we want to find out what is happening with this group of people, are they death? Are they on another HF? The problem is that it is hard to believe all abandoned. And we also want to find out if those LTFU are really been traced
- How to calculate: we cannot have a sum of the totals.
- Where will we collect this information?

- o If we consider to use queries we can use “cartão de visita”.
- o If we use “livro” we do not have all categories in there, it has been registered on the Log Book “Abandono” but the period is not entered.
- We still have the problem of the “transferência silenciosa”.
 - o Previously undocumented – what is the time to be considered?
 - o We will have patients abandoned at the end of the period and we will not be able to find out if they were traced in any way or not.
 - o The Log Book does not have a field to enter “Tentativa de busca” this field is on the new MISAU tools that haven’t start being used.
- We need to define what will be collected on EPTS and what will be collected manually.
 - o The only information that can be captured currently on the system is “Cartão de Busca”
 - The tool is there but not all partners are using it.
 - On the Log Book it is hard to find out who are LTFU during the period and evaluate one by one manually, and then go back to the log book and classify by disaggregation.
 - EPTS does not capture phone calls, and most of the tracing is done by phone.
 - If we want to capture phone calls we need to collect phone calls and dates, it means that all data on the Calls Log Book need to be entered into EPTS, what is a big limitation.

ISSUES STILL TO BE CONFIRMED

- o Período de abandonos - declarado
- o Faltosos
- o Fontes de recolha de dados - buscas, chamadas, obitos,
- o Home visits are being reported on the Dev Results – it is a mixture but can be used as a start for the query

SUMMARY IN PORTUGUES

1. TX_ML - o indicador verifica o que aconteceu com os pacientes que não estão no TX_CURR, no período (ultimo período de report e o periodo de report). Considera todos os pacientes que estão como
 - Abandono
2. Desagregação:
 - Mortes - Fonte SESP
 - transferidos não documentados - difícil de coletar
 - Busca - fonte cartão de visita (nem todos os parceiros tem esta informação disponível no SESP)
 - Tentativa de contacto - Fonte Por definir
3. Fontes primarias para recolha de informação:
 - Livros
 - Ficha de Seguimento
 - Cartão de Visita e Busca

3 POST MEETING ACTION ITEMS

#	Action	Assigned To	Deadline
---	--------	-------------	----------

1	Decide on how to deal with transferências silenciosas	ALL	14.2.19
2	Have a conversation internally to have a final about the definitions of LTFU and Abandono	PEPFAR	13.2.19
3	Discussion on the TB indicators	All	14.2.19

4 NEXT MEETING

Next Meeting: Next week - Thursday 14th February, 10am - 11.30am